

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

Hearing on What Constitutes a Board of Trade Located Outside Of the United States under the Commodity Exchange Act Section 4(a)

June 27, 2006

9:00-9:15 Welcome and Opening Statements

Chairman Reuben Jeffery III Commissioner Walter L. Lukken Commissioner Sharon Brown-Hruska Commissioner Fred Hatfield Commissioner Michael V. Dunn

9:15-10:30 Panel I: What Makes an Exchange Foreign or Domestic?

James E. Newsome President & Chief Executive Officer, New York

Mercantile Exchange

Kathleen M. Cronin Managing Director, General Counsel, & Corporate

Secretary, Chicago Mercantile Exchange

Bernard W. Dan President & Chief Executive Officer, Chicago Board of

Trade

Anthony Belchambers Chief Executive, The Futures and Options Association

Richard Berliand Chairman, Futures Industry Association, Managing

Director, JP Morgan Securities

Peter Reitz Member of the Executive Board, Eurex Frankfurt AG

Sir Robert Reid Chairman, ICE Futures

John Foyle Deputy Chief Executive, Euronext Liffe

Nick Weinreb Head of Regulation, Euronext

Verena Ross Head of Market Infrastructure, Financial Services

Authority

Benn Steil Director for International Economics, Council on

Foreign Relations

Michael Gorham Director, Illinois Institute of Technology Center for

Financial Markets

- What factors should be considered when determining whether an FBOT permitting direct U.S. access has a level of contacts with the U.S. that make it subject to Section 4(a) and the requirement to register as a U.S. exchange?
- Should volume from the U.S. be a criterion?
 - o Should such a criterion specify a level of U.S. volume that would trigger Section 4(a) and, if so, what should that level be?
 - o Should such a criterion assess U.S. volume levels on a contract-by-contract basis or on an overall exchange basis?

10:30-10:45 Break

10:45-12:00 • Should the nature of an FBOT's contracts be a criterion?

- o Should such a criterion include whether a contract calls for physical delivery in the U.S. and/or is based upon a product with a cash market in the U.S.?
- Should such a criterion be applied independent of or in conjunction with any consideration of a contract's U.S. volume?
- Are there any other types of contacts with the U.S. that should serve as a criterion (e.g., location of exchange management, operations, etc.)?

12:00-1:00 Lunch

1:00-2:15 Panel II: How Should the Commission Consider a DCM (DTEF) Application by an FBOT Whose Contacts in the U.S. Implicate CFTC Oversight?

Brian Regan Vice President & Counsel, New York Mercantile

Exchange

Kathleen M. Cronin Managing Director, General Counsel, & Corporate

Secretary, Chicago Mercantile Exchange

Bernard W. Dan President & Chief Executive Officer, Chicago Board of

Trade

Anthony Belchambers Chief Executive, The Futures and Options Association

Peter Reitz Member of the Executive Board, Eurex Frankfurt AG

Sir Robert Reid Chairman, ICE Futures

John Foyle Deputy Chief Executive, Euronext Liffe

Nick Weinreb Head of Regulation, Euronext

Verena Ross Head of Market Infrastructure, Financial Services

Authority

Matthew Andresen Co-Head, Citadel Derivatives Group

Patrick R. Byrne Manager, Materials and Procurement, General Shale

Brick, Industrial Energy Consumers of America

William P. Miller Senior Investment Officer, Fund Management, Ohio

Public Employees Retirement System

Jeff Billings Manager, Risk Management, Municipal Gas Authority

of Georgia, American Public Gas Association

• Absent an exemption, an FBOT that becomes subject to Section 4(a) must become designated as a DCM (or registered as a DTEF). What should the regulatory treatment be for FBOTs that become subject to Section 4(a)?

• What regulatory measures do you believe are most important to ensuring the basic integrity of futures markets?

2:15-2:30 Break

• To what extent, if any, should the Commission rely on a foreign regulator for assistance in ensuring that such an exchange is meeting the DCM/FBOT regulatory obligations?

3:45-4:00 Closing Statements